

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcsandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/029,401	02/08/2000	HANS-PETER CALL		6010
7	590 05/05/2005	•	EXAMINER	
Herr Hans-Peter Call			ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID	
Heinberger Strabe 14a 52531 Ubach-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Palenberg, GERMANY			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(
	09/029,401	CALL, HANS-PETER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714	#16
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate in the second	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/029,401 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-34 and 38-39, drawn to a multicomponent system for use with detergent, classified in class 252, subclass 186.1+.
- II. Claims 35-37 and 40-43, drawn to a detergent composition and a method of making thereof, classified in class 510, subclass 302+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive inventions in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product and the inventions are patentably distinct. In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as bleaching composition in a surfactant free process of bleaching textiles and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/029,401 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to a plurality of patentably distinct species of the claimed invention in regards to the following: "the at least one suitable oxidizing agent" which is component b); "at least one mediator" which is component c); and "at least one comediator" which is component d).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to <u>elect a single disclosed</u>

<u>species for each of components b), c) and d)</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant must thus elect a single species of oxidizer, a single species of mediator, and a single species of comediator. <u>Applicant</u> must also list the claims that read on all three of the elected species at the same time. Currently, claims 1-43 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/029,401

Art Unit: 1714

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Dalbert Shefte on 5/4/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Examiner Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Joseph D. Anthony whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 09/029,401

Art Unit: 1714

Page 5

is (571) 272-1117. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The centralized FAX machine number is (703) 872-9306. All other papers received by FAX will be treated as Official communications and cannot be immediately handled by the Examiner.

Joseph D. Anthony
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1714

5/4/05